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Abstract

Background: Most children in low-income countries complete their elementary education with low competency in essential reading, writing, and arithmetic skills. Besides, about 250 million students are not learning the basics, most of whom have spent at least four years in school, and this failure is coined the global learning crisis. This study aimed to examine educational quality management practices perceived by secondary school teachers.

Methods: The study employed a multilevel mixed-method design. Employing a simple random sampling technique, the researcher selected 251 teachers from 10 secondary schools in the research regions. He collected data through a researcher-designed questionnaire, school standards, and student achievement records from November 2018 to March 2019. He analyzed data from a questionnaire using frequency, percentage, mean, Pearson correlation, and exploratory factor analysis. The document review concerning quality management was analyzed using content analysis to triangulate the quantitative findings.

Results: At the school level, the study revealed the impracticality of laboratories. Besides, incompetent and unmotivated teachers and students ran the education business from the input side. At the same level, principals’ management practices on staff development and encouraging parents to support their schools were low. The principals’ management practices in the teaching-learning process were also undesirable at the classroom level. Overall, the study revealed incredibly insufficient input, process, and output management in the study context.

Conclusion: Hence, the study concluded that it is difficult to achieve the very objectives of producing creative, critical, and problem-solving individuals through this type of educational provision and its management. Due to this, it is not easy to achieve quality education...
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Introduction
The success of a country is critically linked to its human capital development. According to the World Bank (2018) report, about 64% of the nation’s wealth is directly related to human capital. Over the last two decades, education expansion has increased dramatically. Nevertheless, school expansions do not guarantee the levels of students’ learning (Altinok et al., 2018; Glewwe & Muralidharan, 2015; Patrinos & Angrist, 2018; UNESCO, 2015; World Bank, 2018). Most children in low-income countries complete their elementary education with low competency in essential reading, writing, and arithmetic skills (Benavot & Köseleci, 2015; Treviño & Ordenes, 2017; World Bank, 2018). For example, 15 Eastern and South African countries took part in the Southern and Eastern Africa Consortium for Monitoring Education Quality (SACMEQ) in 2007. However, most scored below the level of ‘reading for meaning,’ and approximately 60% scored below the level of ‘basic numeracy’ (Hungi et al., 2010). According to the Education for All Global Monitoring Report of 2014, about 250 million students are not learning the basics, most of whom have spent at least four years in school (UNESCO, 2014). UNESCO coined this failure as the ‘global learning crisis.’

There is a growing body of literature examining school heads’ quality management practices in the field of general education. A study by Firszt-Andrzejewska et al. (2021) found that effective quality management practices by school heads are associated with higher levels of teacher job satisfaction and greater student achievement. This study underscores the importance of quality management practices in schools as a means of ensuring positive outcomes for both teachers and students. A recent systematic review by Abdellah and AlGhamdi (2021) also emphasized the critical role played by school heads in shaping the quality of education in their schools. The authors posit that school heads who demonstrate strong leadership, communication, and organizational skills are better equipped to ensure high-quality educational experiences for students. These results effectively highlight the crucial role of quality management practices by school heads in ensuring excellent outcomes in general education.

Ethiopia has been engaging in macroeconomic development programs such as the Sustainable Development and Poverty Reduction Program (1995–2005), Plan for Accelerated and Sustained Development to End Poverty (2005–2010), First Growth and Transformation Plan (GTP) (2010–2015), and Second Growth and Transformation Plan (2015–2020) since 1995 (Ministry of Education (MOE), 2015). All of them were planned to respond to human capital development needs that could contribute to poverty reduction and the country’s economic development (MOE, 2015).

As per the Transitional Government of Ethiopia (TGE), 1994, one of the main reasons for establishing the existing Education and Training Policy (ETP) was to address the weaknesses of previous education systems (the Imperial and the Socialist regimes) in addressing access, equity, quality, and relevance problems simultaneously. To materialize these, the MoE launched five consecutive Education Sector Development Programs (ESDPs) (MOE, 2015). Because of implementing the ESDPs, the education system successfully addressed access and equity issues compared to primary education quality issues.

Although education has been expanding throughout the country, the concern for quality education has become a profound public worry among educational stakeholders in Ethiopia (Negash, 2006). As a response to public concerns, the MoE and international development partners (World Bank) established the General Education Quality Improvement Programs (GEQIPs) (MOE, 2015). Implementing these programs improved the quantitative aspects of educational resources, such as the student–teacher ratios (MOE, 2015). Despite such quantitative improvements, the MoE did not achieve its goals as expected by stakeholders. It appears that secondary education lacks quality.

Quality is a multidimensional, subjective concept, and sometimes it is political. In this study, quality refers to how an education system provides every student’s knowledge, skills, and attitudes. Hence, attempting to fulfill inputs and manage these inputs (process) leads most students to score highly on the national examination. Studies show that principals in Ethiopia emphasized administrative routines (Ahmed, 2015), and the district supervisors and inspectors interfered with principals’ practices (Aklilu et al., 2021). The reviews of the existing studies show that the management of quality education in secondary schools in Sub-Saharan Africa seems rarely addressed. In support of this idea, Alexander (2015) contends that most African countries have been focusing on achieving the Education for All (EFA) goals. Much attention to expanding schools suggests examining how expanded secondary education gives critical attention to quality management practices, which calls for an empirical investigation. Therefore, this study has investigated the practices and challenges of quality management in terms of input availability and management and the proper functioning of the teaching-learning process to produce desired outputs in secondary schools in the Southern Nations, Nationalities, and People Regions (SNNPR) of Ethiopia.
Statement of the problem
According to broad and large-scale quantitative data reviews (Robinson, 2007), effective school leadership and management are the second most essential variables that boost students’ learning, next to the classroom teaching-learning process. In recognition of this, the MOE and regions have given training to 25,000 principals and supervisors on how to lead the teaching-learning process during GEQIP implementation (MOE, 2015).

Though wide ranges of training have been provided to principals and supervisors, Joshi and Verspoor’s (2012) report and the National Learning Assessment (NLA) (2014) (MOE, 2015) reports show that the majority of the students scored below the standard (50%). For instance, the World Bank found that student achievement in secondary school was low, but the graduates lacked the necessary skills to enter the world of work. Similarly, students’ results in the NLA also echo the existence of students’ low academic achievement. For example, in ESDP IV, the ministry set targets in 2014 for grades 4, 8, 10, and 12 in Mathematics, English, Biology, Physics, and Chemistry. Nonetheless, the ministry did not achieve the targets (MOE, 2015). These illustrate the legacy of poor-quality education.

While reviewing the Education Statistics Annual Abstracts of the latest Grade 10 student National Examination results (2016–2018) among larger regions such as Amhara, Oromia, SNNPR, and Tigray, the SNNPR’s grade 10 students’ National Examination results in all three years were below the larger regions and the national average (own compilation from National Education Assessment and Examinations Agency’s Data, 2019). The rationale for choosing SNNPR was the region’s three consecutive years of low academic achievement compared to the regions mentioned above and the national average.

This study differs from previous research for two reasons: Firstly, studies on secondary education quality management are scanty (Joshi & Verspoor, 2012; Verspoor & Bregman, 2008). Secondly, the existing literature (Scheerens, 2011) emphasized single-level quality management practice (school or classroom level). Scheerens suggests the importance of conducting quality management at multiple levels. Hence, this study aimed to assess school and classroom levels of quality management practices in secondary education to fill these yawning gaps.

Research questions
(1) How do teachers perceive the inputs to secondary schools in Ethiopia?
(2) How do teachers perceive school-level quality management practices in Ethiopian secondary schools?
(3) How do teachers perceive classroom-level quality management practices in Ethiopian secondary schools?
(4) What are the relationships between input, process, and output management in Ethiopian secondary education?

Significance of the study
This study offers pertinent and timely information to policymakers concerning quality management practices in Ethiopian secondary schools. Besides, it helps vital educational stakeholders, such as school principals and teachers, revisit their practices to enhance students’ achievement.

A conceptual framework for quality management in secondary schools
As per Kast and Rosenzweig (1981), open system theory states that a school takes its inputs from the external environment, transforms them to produce the outputs, and exports the output back to the environment. Furthermore, as an embedded social organization, schools influence the performance of classroom-level decisions (Thien & Razak, 2012). The following conceptual framework was adapted from Scheerens (2011). It shows the relationships among variables in input, process, and output management (Figure 1).

Operational Definition of Terms
High School (secondary education): A school hosts Grade 9–12 students.

Special Woreda is similar to a district not part of a zone administration division and functions as an autonomous entity (en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki).

Woreda: The Ethiopian education administration has four levels—Federal Ministry, Regional Education Bureau, Zonal Department, and Woreda. It is equivalent to the district. It administers the number of schools.

Zone: an administrative level between the region’s governments and the woreda. It manages various woredas.

Literature review
Education quality
Scholars define the term ‘quality’ in different ways. For many scholars (Reddy, 2007), the students’ national examination results are a starting point for defining education quality. Differently, the World Bank conceptualizes the learners’ cognitive achievement to measure the quality of education (Ndofripi, 2012). The United Nations International Children’s Fund’s (UNICEF) (2006) defines education quality as the education system that helps every individual develop his or her full capacity. Ibrahim et al. (2017) provided a more comprehensive definition of educational quality. The authors state quality from seven dimensions—providing adequate instructional materials, educational infrastructure, imparting the proper knowledge, meeting educational yardsticks, teacher support, creating a conducive learning atmosphere, and the availability of high-quality education teachers. Secondary education provides competent citizens with tertiary education and work experience (O’Sullivan, 2017; Pourrajab et al., 2015). Hence, secondary schools could consciously plan and lead quality management practices (Elahi & Ilyas, 2019; Lewal & Yakubu, 2018; van Kemenade, 2022).
Quality assurance or management

The concept of quality management has a wide range of discussions. It can be defined from diverse perspectives. Accordingly, there appears to be no globally accepted definition of quality management (Darojat, 2018). For Vroejenstijn (1995), quality assurance is “... systematic, structured, and continuous attention to quality in terms of quality maintenance and quality improvement” (p. xviii). Similarly, Harman and Meek (2000) define quality assurance as “systematic management and assessment procedures adopted by higher education institutions and systems to monitor performance against objectives and to ensure achievements of quality outputs and quality improvements” (p. 4). Although the definition varies among scholars, the main thrust of quality management in education is to produce quality graduates that can cope with the environment in which they live.

Discerning the incompleteness of single-level quality management studies (school level or classroom level), scholars (Creemers, 1999; Thien & Razak, 2012) suggest the importance of conducting studies to evaluate the effectiveness of education at different levels. The researcher conducted the study at the school and classroom levels based on the scholar’s guide.

Total Quality Management

Total Quality Management (TQM) has been widely adopted and implemented by various sectors in the industry to ensure customer satisfaction and operational excellence (Al-Hadhrami et al., 2021). In recent years, TQM has also been recognized as an effective approach to enhance the quality of education in general education programs. A study by Al-Alak and Al-Sabbagh (2021) found that TQM principles can improve the quality of education by prioritizing student satisfaction, continuous improvement, and stakeholder engagement. Similarly, Karia et al. (2020) emphasized the importance of TQM in higher education institutions, in order to enhance the quality of learning and teaching, and to meet the expectations of various stakeholders. The effective implementation of TQM in general education requires strong leadership, effective communication, and the involvement of all stakeholders (Ezeuduji et al., 2021). Furthermore, Rigopoulos et al. (2021) highlighted the need for ongoing evaluation and continuous improvement in the implementation of TQM in general education.

The choice of study variables

The researcher included variables that significantly influence students’ achievement-based literature reviews. For instance, Fuller & Clarke (1994) conducted a meta-analysis on input and process variables that revealed a significant association with student learning in developing countries. They have found that 7 out of 9 studies show the significance of the quality of the facility, 19 out of 22 studies show the importance of the library, 8 out of 13 studies show the worth of a laboratory, 11 out of 17 studies...
depict the significance of staff development, and 27 out of 33 studies show the significance of instructional time. Therefore, he chose the study variables based on a literature review.

**Methods**

**Ethical statement**

There is no process for ethical approval in education in our region; this study design and tools were reviewed during the proposal, curved article, and final defense sessions and approved by a panel of researchers as part of the Ph.D. dissertation undertaken at Addis Ababa University. However, no written approval was given to the researcher as part of the Ph.D. process.

In this study, the researcher ensured the well-being of the participants in the following ways: Prior to participating in the study, the respondents were fully informed about the risks and benefits of the study, and their right to withdraw at any time. Additionally, the researcher protected respondents’ personal information to ensure their privacy, and their identity was not disclosed. Furthermore, the names of participating schools were anonymized, and data storage was secure and restricted to only authorized researchers with access.

**Description of the study area**

The Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples’ Region (SNNPR) of Ethiopia is a federal region situated in the southern part of Ethiopia (Central Statistical Agency, 2008). It shares borders with Kenya to the south, the Oromia region to the north, eastern Ethiopia to the east, and South Sudan to the west (Ethiopian Tourism Organization, n.d.). The region covers an approximate area of 109,000 square kilometers, comprising about 10% of Ethiopia’s total land mass (Central Statistical Agency, 2021). The SNNPR is inhabited by a diverse group of over 56 ethnic groups, with the Sidama, Gurage, Gedeo, Hadiya, and Kambaata being the largest (Central Statistical Agency, 2008). These ethnic groups have different languages and cultural practices, making the region one of the most ethnically diverse in Ethiopia (USAID, 2020).

In terms of its socio-economic features, the SNNPR is predominantly agricultural, with over 85% of the population engaged in farming (Central Statistical Agency, 2008). The principal crops grown in the region include coffee, sugarcane, maize, and teff (Ethiopian Tourism Organization, n.d.). Livestock rearing is also prevalent, with cattle, sheep, and goats being the main animals raised in the area (Central Statistical Agency, 2008). The region is also home to some of Ethiopia’s significant rivers, including the Omo River, which is a source of irrigation and hydroelectricity (USAID, 2020).

Politically, the SNNPR is governed by a regional government responsible for managing the region’s affairs (Central Statistical Agency, 2008). The regional government is led by a president elected by the regional assembly, composed of representatives from each ethnic group in the region. The SNNPR has its police force, responsible for ensuring law and order within the region (USAID, 2020). As of 2015, there were 761 kindergartens, 6,452 primary schools, and 705 secondary schools in the region. There were 86,851 teachers in primary schools and 17,812 teachers in secondary schools, with 4,244,044 students in primary schools (World Bank, 2020).

**Research design**

The study employed a multilevel design because different levels of education management have different functions to achieve similar objectives (Creswell, 2014). The study followed a quantitative approach using qualitative data from document analysis.

**Sample**

In the SNNPR, there were 14 zones and five special woredas. The researcher clustered zones and special woredas into five groups based on their geographical proximities. These are northern (Gurage, Silty, and Yem); southern (Dawuro, South Omo, Gamgofa, Derashe, Konta, and Basketo); eastern (Sidama, Gedio, and Seng People); western (Bech- Maji, Kaffa, and Sheka); and central clusters (Wolayta, Hadiya, Kambata-Tamburo, and Alaba). The researcher selected the Western cluster for the study using a simple random sampling technique. Though the researcher selected the Western cluster, the remaining clusters share the same economic and social characteristics as the selected cluster. Hence, the finding of this study represents other clusters too.

In the selected cluster, there were 19 secondary and preparatory schools. Since the study aimed to see the two consecutive years (2017 and 2018) of the Grade 10 students’ national examination results, the researcher set two criteria for the appropriate sample schools. First, he differentiated between those schools that prepared their students for the earlier years. Second, to respond to the whole questionnaire, the respondents could teach in those schools that host grades 9–12 because the questionnaire requires knowledge of what is going on in general secondary and preparatory schools simultaneously. Hence, based on the above criteria, he only included 10 secondary and preparatory schools in the study out of the initial 19.

Finally, the researcher selected teachers using the simplified sample determination formula Yamane developed and cited in Singh and Masuku (2014). According to the formula,

\[
 n = \frac{N}{1 + N(e)^2}
\]

Where \( n \) is the sample size, \( N \) is the population, and \( e \) is the margin of error (5%).

In the sampled schools, there were a total of 528 teachers. The researcher selected 229 teachers using a simple random sampling technique to provide an equal chance of being selected as a sample, by using a formula. Additionally, 10% of the initial sample was added to enhance the response rate (Johnson & Christensen, 2014). Thus, the researcher finally selected 251 teachers as respondents. While selecting teachers from 10 preparatory schools, the proportion of teachers in each school was considered. Hence, proportional sampling technique was used by considering the number of teachers in every school.
Instrumentation

Questionnaire. The researcher developed structured questionnaires that incorporate 50 items for data collection. Experts were invited to comment on the questionnaire, and their comments were considered for administration. The language experts translated the English version of the questionnaire to the Amharic (an official language of Ethiopia) version backward and forwards (Aklilu, 2022). The questionnaire consists of two parts. Part one seeks teachers’ background information. The second part aims to collect information about quality management practices at the school and classroom levels, using a five-point Likert scale ranging from ‘strongly disagree’ to ‘strongly agree.’ The Amharic version of the draft questionnaire was pilot-tested with 60 teachers. These teachers were incorporated for the pilot study based on their willingness to participate and availability in the school compound, and we did not involve them in the actual study to offer chances to other teachers. The Cronbach Alpha calculated the reliability statistics of the pilot test, and the result was 0.97. For the actual data with the sample of 240, the reliability statistics for factor 1 (0.90), factor 2 (0.89), factor 3 (0.93), factor 4 (0.85), factor 5 (0.82), factor 6 (0.86), factor 7 (0.87), factor 8 (0.82), factor 9 (0.81), and factor 10 (0.88) were above the suggested 0.7 (Rohwer, 2010).

The researcher checked the validity of the internal scale structure through dimensions extracted using eigenvalues greater than the suggested (0.7) except for two dimensions. Furthermore, all the Average Variance Extracted (AVE) was greater than 0.5, as indicated in extended data (Field, 2009). The AVE ensures the instrument’s construct validity (Weerasinghe & Fernando, 2018). Besides, the researcher invited five experts from the education and psychology fields from Addis Ababa University based on their long-term experiences and their areas of scholarly research to examine the tool’s content validity, and the experts provided comments to change some terminologies (e.g., school head was changed to the principal, throughput to process, and continuous evaluation to continuous assessment). The researcher seriously considered and improved as per experts’ comments. Besides, the experts suggested deleting the ‘medium of instruction proficiency’ variable because they argued that the level of a student’s English language proficiency is not affected by principal quality management practices, and the researcher found the comment valuable and deleted it, and face validity of the tool was examined through an extensive review of the literature and expert judgment (Weerasinghe & Fernando, 2018).

Document analysis

This study analyzed Grade 10 National Examination results for two consecutive years (2017 and 2018) from the National Educational Assessment and Examination Agency (NEAEA) to determine the performance status of students from the southwestern cluster. The aim was also to determine the percentage of students who scored above average as a result of quality management practices adopted by principals. The Addis Ababa University Department of Educational Planning and Management wrote a cooperation letter to the NEAEA for access to the documents. The researcher categorized the scores above and below two points and analyzed them using content analysis techniques. Additionally, the researcher gathered Grade 8 students’ regional examination results from 2014 to 2018 with their cut-points from the target zones to assess whether incoming Grade 9 students had the required knowledge and skills expected for their level of education. Finally, the researcher reviewed the high school standards (MOE, 2009) to ascertain whether the secondary schools met the standard in terms of input availability, such as qualified teachers, competent students, well-equipped laboratories, and libraries, and whether the principals performed satisfactorily according to the standards.

Data analysis

The researcher employed SPSS version 23 for data analysis. To ensure content, face, and construct validity and reliability measures, we tested the validity and reliability of the instrument (Weerasinghe & Fernando, 2018). Data analysis follows two stages. Firstly, he run EFA to check the development of psychometric measures. As a result, item validity and reliability of the internal structure of dimensions were tested. Cronbach’s alpha coefficient calculated the reliability statistics, and all items were above the suggested 0.7 (Rohwer, 2010).

Data analysis followed the following steps: Firstly, the researcher checked the issues of outliers, missing values, and normality via Skewness and Kurtosis via SPSS. All values were found in +2 (Garson, 2012). Secondly, he checked the adequacy of the sample through Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO), and the result was 0.8, labeled as meritorious (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2001). Besides, Bartlett’s Test Sphericity was 0.000, less than 0.05 (Maat et al., 2011). Furthermore, the absence of multicollinearity was checked using the correlation matrix value (determinant), and the result was (0.00086), which is greater than the suggested 0.00001 (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2001). Thirdly, exploratory factor analysis was run with 50 items via principal component analysis extraction and varimax rotation methods with an eigenvalue greater than 1 with a minimum cut-off of 0.4-factor loading (Field, 2009). These statistics show the factorability of data.

Furthermore, he inspected the scree plot. The eigenvalues greater than one and the scree plot suggest retaining ten factors. Based on the rotated component matrix, five items were deleted because they did not meet the minimum criterion of 0.4, and three items were eliminated because they were cross-loaded. Therefore, 42 items were retained. Finally, factors were nominated based on shared characteristics of the dimensions.

After checking the reliability and validity, the researcher analyzed the data under the input category, school level, and classroom-level quality management practices. Hence, data analyses had the following patterns:

Frequency and percentages were utilized to analyze and interpret respondents’ background information. Means and standard deviations were employed to analyze items gathered through the Likert scale. The calculated mean values were interpreted as follows: a mean score of 3 and above indicates “for-ness,” and a mean score below 2.51 shows “against-ness.” A mean
score of 2.51–2.99 is undecided (Best & Kahn, 1995). Finally, the Pearson correlation was employed to examine whether there were relationships between school input, process, and output.

**Results**

The researcher invited 251 teachers using a simple random sampling technique, with 240 valid completed questionnaires returned from the respondents (95.6% response rate). In this study, 96 (40%) of the respondents had a diploma, 124 (52%) had a first degree, and the remaining 20 (8%) had a second degree. From the data, under-qualified teachers were involved in teaching-learning processes. Concerning their workload, 48 (20%) of the respondents had three-10 periods per week, 186 (77.5%) had 11–20 periods per week, and the remaining six (2.5%) had 21–30 periods per week. From this, teachers had ample time to provide tutorials and makeup classes.

**Data analysis on school-level quality management practices**

**Input availability.** From Factor 2 of Table 1, teachers disagreed that their respective schools had well-equipped physics, chemistry, and biology laboratories; they argued that these laboratories did not frequently offer services to students, and they also confirmed that schools did not have the necessary chemicals. However, the high school standard states that all secondary schools must have three separate laboratories. From this, one can infer that the teaching-learning process in science subject lessons lacks practical experience.

From Factor 6 of Table 1, respondents agreed when they were asked whether their respective school libraries had recent books, whether students usually used the school libraries, and whether orientation was given to students on how to search for books. On the contrary, teachers disagreed with the item because the school has trained librarians. From this, one can infer that libraries offered services to their students without trained librarians.

The researcher reviewed five years (2014–2018) of grade eight regional examination results from the three zones to triangulate the data. The triangulation was done to determine whether secondary schools received qualified students from primary education. From Factor 7 of Table 1, teachers disagreed with the incoming grade nine students’ knowledge, English language proficiency for the grade level, and motivation to learn.

The analysis shows that out of students promoted to grade nine, 48% scored below standard. Consequently, about half of the students entered grade nine without having the necessary knowledge, skills, or attitudes.

From Factor 10 of Table 2, teachers were asked to rate whether they selected the teaching profession as the last option and agreed with the item. One can infer that those teachers have been teaching without interest. Besides, teachers were asked whether all secondary school teachers had a first degree in any subject and disagreed with the item. The statistical data of teachers’ qualifications from three zones were reviewed, and 30% of teachers in the three zones were diploma holders to triangulate this finding (own compilation from zones report, 2019), which compromised the high school standard. A teacher must have a first degree to teach secondary education as per the standard. Hence, one can infer that those incompetent teachers were teaching secondary education, which affected the quality of education.

**Data analysis on process management at the school level**

From Factor 4 of Table 3, respondents disagreed with the statement that principals frequently call parents to discuss how to improve students’ achievement and that principals often call parents to discuss students’ disciplinary problems. However, they were undecided when they were asked whether principals encourage parents to support the schools in the form of labor, whereas they agreed with the item that principals initiate parents to support the school by contributing cash.

From Factor 5 of Table 3, teachers disagreed when they were asked to rate whether principals usually evaluate students’ achievements, whether they discuss the drawbacks of exam cheating with the school community, whether they strictly follow examination provisions, and whether they often discuss with the school community how to improve teaching. Differently, they were undecided when they were asked to rate whether principals prohibit students from bringing their phones during the examination. From this, one can infer that principals’ practices in monitoring students’ progress were poor.

From Factor 6 of Table 3, teachers were asked to respond to ‘whether their school principals conduct needs assessments before offering training to teachers and whether principals offer training to teachers,’ and they disagreed with the items. Alternatively, when they were asked to respond to ‘whether school-level teacher training was based on students’ achievement, principals encouraged teachers to participate in training,’ they rated the items as undecided. The overall staff development practices were low.

Teachers agreed with the items in Factor 9 of Table 3 when asked if principals acknowledge those students who work harder and those who display good behavior in community gatherings. When they were asked to respond to whether ‘principals acknowledge teachers’ good performances in staff meetings,’ they rated the items as undecided. Hence, the practices for incentives were average and above average.

**Data analysis on quality management practices at the classroom level**

From Factor 1 of Table 4, teachers agreed when they were asked to respond to whether principals encourage teachers to apply continuous assessment, whether they encourage teachers to show the results of the evaluation, whether they encourage teachers to change teaching methodology, and whether
Table 1. Availability of quality and quantity of secondary school inputs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Factor L.</th>
<th>Availability of Well-equipped Laboratories and their Practices in Schools</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>.723</td>
<td>A well-equipped Physics laboratory is found in our school</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.702</td>
<td>In Physics teaching-learning process, students usually use the Physics laboratory</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.824</td>
<td>The well-equipped Chemistry laboratory is found in our school</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>1.565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.716</td>
<td>In the Chemistry teaching-learning process, students usually use the Chemistry laboratory</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>0.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.862</td>
<td>A well-equipped Biology laboratory is found in our school</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.775</td>
<td>In the Biology teaching-learning process, students usually use the Biology laboratory</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.716</td>
<td>Necessary chemicals are available in the laboratory centers</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>1.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Grand Mean</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.21</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>.760</td>
<td>Our library has recent books</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>1.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.703</td>
<td>Students often use the library</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>1.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.696</td>
<td>Orientation was given to students on how to search books</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>1.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.699</td>
<td>The school has trained librarians</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>1.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Grand Mean</strong></td>
<td><strong>3.10</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>.814</td>
<td>Grade 9 students have the knowledge that deserves the grade</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.802</td>
<td>Grade 9 students have a good command of the English language</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.758</td>
<td>Grade 9 students have the motivation to learn</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Grand Mean</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.90</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>.777</td>
<td>Teachers select the teaching profession as a last option</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>1.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.722</td>
<td>All secondary school teachers had a first degree in any subject</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.302</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Grade nine students’ achievement in regional examinations in 2014–2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Took Exam</th>
<th>Promoted</th>
<th>No of students who scored 50% and above</th>
<th>% of promoted having score of below standard</th>
<th>Cut-points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sheka</td>
<td>3,619</td>
<td>2,306</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>Male=38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Bench-Maji</td>
<td>6,658</td>
<td>5,071</td>
<td>1,579</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>Female=37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kafa</td>
<td>18,164</td>
<td>8,989</td>
<td>3,870</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>28,441</strong></td>
<td><strong>16,366</strong></td>
<td><strong>6338</strong></td>
<td><strong>Average 62.5</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sheka</td>
<td>4,002</td>
<td>2,835</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>40 for both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Bench-Maji</td>
<td>8,509</td>
<td>6,696</td>
<td>3,923</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kafa</td>
<td>23,505</td>
<td>18,062</td>
<td>9,727</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>36,016</strong></td>
<td><strong>27,593</strong></td>
<td><strong>15,050</strong></td>
<td><strong>Average 46.1</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Zone</td>
<td>Took Exam</td>
<td>Promoted</td>
<td>No of students who scored 50% and above</td>
<td>% of promoted having score of below standard</td>
<td>Cut-points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Sheka</td>
<td>5,042</td>
<td>4,328</td>
<td>3,087</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>42 for both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bench-Maji</td>
<td>11,879</td>
<td>10,501</td>
<td>8,054</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kafa</td>
<td>21,062</td>
<td>17,119</td>
<td>11,911</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>39,983</td>
<td>31,948</td>
<td>23,052</td>
<td>Average 27.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sheka</td>
<td>5,383</td>
<td>3,468</td>
<td>1,408</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>40 for both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bench-Maji</td>
<td>11,837</td>
<td>6,886</td>
<td>2,729</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kafa</td>
<td>20,235</td>
<td>15,777</td>
<td>8,971</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>37,455</td>
<td>26,131</td>
<td>13,108</td>
<td>Average 54.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Sheka</td>
<td>6,197</td>
<td>5,397</td>
<td>2,949</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>Male=40 Female=38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bench-Maji</td>
<td>14,906</td>
<td>10,952</td>
<td>5,189</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kafa</td>
<td>20,814</td>
<td>16,664</td>
<td>8,298</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>41,917</td>
<td>33,013</td>
<td>16,436</td>
<td>Average 49.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average 48.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Own compilations from the zones' examination experts' reports

**Table 3. Principals’ quality management practices at the school level.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Factor Loading</th>
<th>Principals’ Management Practices on Parental Support</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>.737</td>
<td>Principals frequently call parents to discuss how to improve students’ achievement</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.715</td>
<td>Principals often call parents to discuss students’ disciplinary problems</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.765</td>
<td>Principals encourage parents to support the school in the form of labor</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>1.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.767</td>
<td>Principals initiate parents to support the school in the form of contributing cash</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>1.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Factor L.</th>
<th>Principals’ Management Practices on Students’ Achievement (Outputs)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>.719</td>
<td>Principals usually evaluate students’ achievements</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>0.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.759</td>
<td>Principals discuss the drawbacks of cheating on the exam with the school community</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>0.975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.727</td>
<td>Principals usually discuss with the school community how to improve teaching</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.751</td>
<td>Principals strictly follow examination provision</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.732</td>
<td>Principals prohibit students not to bringing their phones during the examination</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>1.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Factor L.</th>
<th>Principals’ Management Practices on Staff Development</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>.726</td>
<td>Principals conduct need assessment before offering training</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>1.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.745</td>
<td>Principals offer training to teachers</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>0.957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.732</td>
<td>School-level teacher training is based on students’ achievements</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>1.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.718</td>
<td>Principals encourage teachers to participate in training</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>1.154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grand Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
they encourage teachers to offer a tutorial class. Differently, they disagreed when they were asked whether principals follow whether teachers enter the classroom on time and whether they use the allocated time properly. Conversely, they were undecided when asked whether principals encourage teachers to offer makeup classes.

From Factor 3 of Table 4, teachers disagreed with items such as principals’ practices of following up on whether teachers offer and check classwork and homework. From this, one can infer that the principals’ management of instructional time was poor.

Grade 10 students’ National Examination achievements
When the inputs are available in quantity and quality and their interactions are managed carefully, most Grade 10 students score according to the standard (50%). The following table shows the extent of students’ achievement.

Table 5 shows the average sample school Grade 10 national examination results in 2017, better than the 2018 results. In 2017, out of 4508 students who took national examinations, 46.5% scored below standard. The situation was worse in 2018. Of 5,911 students who took the grade 10 national examination, 55% scored below standard. However, we should note the results of the national examination with caution. The way we gain the results matters most. We might attain the result through backbreaking efforts made at different levels of education management or by allowing examination cheating. Hence, it is difficult to infer that school ‘A’ performed better than school ‘B,’ ‘C,’ or vice versa.

The relationship between input, process, and output management
This study examined the relationships between input, process, and output management. We base the interpretation of the strength of the relationship on Cohen’s (1988) classification.
Table 5. Sample schools’ grade 10 national examination results in 2017 and 2018.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>School’s Name</th>
<th>2017 Grade 10 National Exam</th>
<th>2018 Grade 10 National Exam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Took exam</td>
<td>Score 2 &amp; above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>“A” Secondary &amp; Preparatory</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>“B” Secondary &amp; Preparatory</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>“C” Secondary &amp; Preparatory</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>“D” Secondary &amp; Preparatory</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>“E” Secondary &amp; Preparatory</td>
<td>1,120</td>
<td>545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>“F” Secondary &amp; Preparatory</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>“G” Secondary &amp; Preparatory</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>“H” Secondary &amp; Preparatory</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>“I” Secondary &amp; Preparatory</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>“J” Secondary &amp; Preparatory</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 4,508 2365 Average 46.5 5,911 2405 Average 55

Source: Researcher’s compilation from secondary schools’ reports in outlined in document analysis methods.

Table 6. The correlation between input, process, and output.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlations</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.572**</td>
<td>.385**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Process Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.572**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.647**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.385**</td>
<td>.647**</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The relationship between input and process is positive and significant with a correlation value of 0.572, whereas the relationship between input and output is moderate and positive with a correlation value of 0.385. Finally, the relationship between process and output is positive and high, with a correlation value of 0.647 (Table 6).

Discussions

In this study, the researcher examined the availability of inputs. Besides, he examined whether the schools received qualified teachers and students from the external environment. The findings show that secondary school laboratories were not functioning correctly because of a lack of laboratory equipment and chemicals. This finding resonates with Zengele and Alemayehu’s (2016) findings. However, Fuller and Clarke (1994) conducted a meta-analysis, and they found that eight out of 13 studies show the significance of laboratories on students’ achievement. Hence, students lack the practical experience that could help them think critically and solve problems they face.

Various studies (Darling-Hammond, 2000; van den Bergh et al., 2014) show a positive relationship between students’ achievement and teachers’ subject knowledge, skills, and motivation. Besides, teachers’ readiness and commitment affect the implementation of educational reforms. However, from the outset in Ethiopia, most teachers were not interested in the teaching career because the salary in the career is relatively lower than the similar status of other professions such as accountants, lawyers, and so forth. This finding resonates with Kassa’s (2014) finding. For instance, Kassa found that the initial reason for the students’ entering a teaching career was the absence of other alternatives. From this, one can infer that the Ethiopian government expects teachers’ contributions to economic development from unmotivated and inept teachers. Finally, the researcher reviewed zone students’ regional examination results for five years (2014–2018). On average, 48% of grade nine students scored below the standard (50%). Studies show that students’ achievement and motivation for learning have significant and positive relationships (Singh et al., 2017). It is challenging to expect motivated and committed students from unmotivated, incompetent, and uncommitted teachers.

The importance of incentivizing good-performing teachers is not a matter of choice; instead, a one standard deviation increment in teacher performance is associated with a 0.15–0.24 standard deviation increment in mathematics and reading achievements.
In this study, the provision of rewards for good performance was average. This finding is inconsistent with Ahmed’s (2015) finding. The author found that the principal’s practices for providing incentives to teachers and students were poor.

Studies show a relationship between staff development and student achievement (McMeeking et al., 2012). However, staff development in the study area was low. This finding resonates with the findings of Berehe et al. (2018). They found out that staff development was inadequately implemented.

There is a significant relationship between student achievement and continuous assessment (Fareo, 2020). However, continuous assessment practice was not as expected in the study area. Though principals encourage teachers to offer tutorial and makeup classes and continuous assessments, it is impractical due to large class sizes, a shortage of classrooms, and demotivated teachers and students. This finding is consistent with Asale’s (2017) finding. The author found that continuous assessments were not correctly implemented because of similar problems. Besides, Fuller and Clarke’s (1994) meta-analysis results show that out of 33 studies conducted to examine the effect of instructional time on student achievement, 27 studies showed the significance of instructional time on student learning. However, the management of instructional time was abysmal. This finding goes with Asale’s (2017) finding. The author found that the management of instructional time was impoverished.

This study found relationships between inputs, processes, and outputs. This finding resonates with the findings of Rumberger and Palardy (2005). They found that there are relationships between inputs, processes, and outputs. Generally, this study found that the dearth of school resources, the ineffectiveness of process management, and the resultant students’ lower achievement generally were causally linked. The country’s policy implementation practices exacerbate the problem. Since Ethiopia signed the Education for All, it has been expanding schools at all levels at the expense of quality to convince donor organizations to borrow money. Consequently, the promotion policy was compromised, the importance of motivation of principals, teachers, and students were forgotten, and the secondary education budget was switched to higher education (Joshi & Verspoor, 2012).

The global community highly values the right to quality education. It is enshrined in a set of human rights obligations and politicians regarded as critical in building social transformation. This understanding is illustrated by the global goals approaches and is expected to address over the last two decades. The international community established EFA goals in 1990 in Jomtien, Thailand, and in 2000, they were confirmed at the World Education Forum in Dakar, Senegal. The EFA debate has seen a wide range of national responses, as well as large swings in the international agenda: focusing on educational outcomes and quality rather than school access, enrolment, and retention; and moving away from understanding equity and quality as two sides of the same coin, in that education for all cannot correctly entail quality for just some (Lim, 1999; Tishkovskaya & Lancaster, 2012; UNICEF, 2007; Weldemariam, 2008).

Limitation of the study
Quality management practices involve the efforts of different actors such as policy planners, researchers, education managers at different levels, principals, teachers, and students. However, this study focused only on principals’ quality management practices. The role of context was uncovered in this study. A more comprehensive study is crucial to see the whole picture of quality management practices.

Conclusion
The school imported under-qualified teachers and students, together with other poor-quality inputs from the external environment, and the interactions of these inputs were not correctly managed. Furthermore, students’ promotion policies and teachers’ recruitment policies were compromised. Besides, schools were not working in line with the standards. Due to this, the policy’s intention on paper and the practices on the ground are incongruent. Therefore, achieving the very objectives of producing creative, critical, and problem-solving individuals through the existing educational provision and management is complex.

Recommendation on management practices
As argued in the discussion, the schools lacked key school inputs, the processes were managed ineffectively, and students’ achievements were unacceptably low. Although the study was conducted at the school and classroom levels, principals could not solve these problems independently. Therefore, the Regional Education Bureau should fulfill the schools’ inputs per the high school standard. The Ministry of Education should revisit its practices of compromising promotion policies, under-funding secondary education, and recruiting unmotivated and under-qualified teachers. Finally, principals should revisit their practices on quality management to do their best.

Recommendation for future research
The Ethiopian Education Road Map study showed that school principals, teachers, and students lack the motivation to engage in the teaching-learning process. Therefore, a comprehensive study is suggested to examine why principals, teachers, and students lack motivation and commitment to teaching-learning.

Data availability
Underlying data
The information gathered for this study relates to three zone education departments in the Southern Nations, Nationalities, and People’s Region, which provides a significant competitive advantage. The zones do not believe that all data gathered was public because education quality issue is more political and for data protection of zone education departments and teachers. As a result, the raw data are restricted and only the processed data and the Amharic and English versions of questionnaires are available as underlying and extended data. If the raw data are needed for scientific purposes or to clarify ambiguities in the manuscript, they will be available from the corresponding author (akilualemui16@gmail.com).

This project contains the following underlying data:

- The Average variance extracted.docx (No of items, their factor loadings, and average variance explained).
- Exploratory factor analysis output put.docx. (Descriptive statistics, KMO & Bartlett’s test, total variance explained, and communalities).

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC-BY 4.0).

Extended data

This project contains the following extended data:

- Questionnaire (English version after expert review).
- Questionnaire (Amharic version).
- Questionnaire (English version before expert review).

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC-BY 4.0).
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General comment: Dear author, thank you very much for your painstaking effort to come up with a research finding in a contemporary issue of quality education. Due to the dynamic nature of quality education itself, we think the area is always open for rigors scientific studies such as yours. As a general observation, we can say that the issue of quality education in Ethiopia in general and in secondary schools in particular is not well and comprehensively investigated. In this regard, your study sheds some light on the area. Particularly, you looking at the point in relation to the role of school leaders in managing quality education is, very important topic that will have its own
contributions and implications not only to the directly investigated issue but also on the training and professionalization of principals and their accountability now and in the future. Thus, before we directly go to the details of our comments for improvement of the quality of your article, we would like to acknowledge your overall efforts on such worthy topic of interest. As we stated in our introductory statement, you did a thorough effort in this study and qualities of such efforts are observed in your paper. Despite such positive qualities that we mentioned in your study, we feel that there are also some constraints of the paper that needs your reconsideration to improve its qualities a bit more. For convenience and better understanding of our comments and suggestions, we organized them in such way as presented below.

Title, Abstract, and Introduction

- **Title:** Your title is clearly stated except for minor reconsideration. As most your discussions indicated your main issue seems that you investigated the quality management practices of principals as perceived by their teachers, is that not? We think so. Thus, be sure that whether your title clearly conveys such (your) intent. What do you think, if the title is restated either: “Secondary school teachers' perception of quality management practices of Principals in Ethiopia: Implications for quality education for all”, or “The quality management practices of secondary school principals in Ethiopia as perceived by their teachers: Implications for quality education for all”. Compare the suggested titles with yours and decide on the one that best reflects your intention.

- **Abstract:** Your abstract is organized in a good way, it is informative. However, please consider the following points: first, the background information presented with the purpose of the study is a bit far to your topic. It is about the education failure in the elementary school level. Though the statement has implication with quality, it does not touch the scenario in secondary schools. So, please make it an appropriate background typically to your case. Second, there is a bit unclear sentence in your abstract of the results section, probably due to the use of inappropriate word/s. …The principals' management practices in the teaching-learning process were also undesirable at the classroom level. Does this sentence mean teachers do not want the principals' intervention in the classroom teaching learning process or they perceive that the principals' practices in such regard are inadequate? Please consider its clarity. Third, there are some minor editing issues that I indicated them by cross outing them in the pdf document. Fourth, in the abstract section (methods) also, why do you prefer to write “he” instead of “I” or “the researcher”? Since it is your research report, we advise you to use “I” or “the researcher” in the entire paper.

Introduction and statement of the problem:

1. **General and specific comments:** The two sections more or less seems well done. However, there is a great concern of idea flow, the paragraphs seems lack coherence. For example, your introduction section has six paragraphs, of which the first one is about the link between nation's wealth and their human capital development and the poor status of quality learning/achievement in elementary school in most low-income countries. Though this is good information by itself, it does not look at the case in secondary schools. The second paragraph is about the role or practice of quality management by school leaders/principals/heads (We think, readers need to about quality issue, at least the concept before they know how principals managed it). The third, fourth, and fifth paragraphs are about the Ethiopian government aspirations and attempts towards quality education. The last paragraph of your introduction provides several different issues (They may not be incorporated in one single paragraph): (a) concept of quality and how it is used
in your study, (b) study results about one sided focus (administrative) of the Ethiopian principals and the interference of supervisors and inspectors, (c) evidence of the gaps of addressing management of quality education in secondary schools in sub-Saharan Africa (which seems that you are rationalizing your study), (d) the purpose of your study “investigating the practices and challenges (have you studied about the challenges, we doubt) of quality management in terms of input availability and management and the proper functioning of the teaching-learning process to produce desired outputs in secondary schools in the Southern Nations, Nationalities, and People Regions (SNNPR) of Ethiopia.” (This seems very appropriate if it appears at the end of your statement of the problem section).

2. Coming to the statement of the problem, it comprises four paragraphs. The first paragraph is about the role of school principals in students’ achievement (a bit similar with paragraph two of the introduction section): the second one is about failure of quality education in Ethiopia (this can be discussed under paragraph 5 immediately with the last sentence of the paragraph). The third paragraph is about comparison of the SNNPR students’ national assessment score with the other three regions (We think, it is in this paragraph you put one rationale for your study). From quality perspective in terms of students’ low achievement, selecting SPNNR as your rationale sounds good. But, why you focus on principals’ quality management? Why not on other actors and aspects of education quality? You can ignore my questions. But, our point is make your rationale of a study a bit more strong and convincing. The last paragraph is about how your study is different from others (this paragraph can be interwoven with paragraph three of the statement of the problem. My rationales are... and the study is quite different from others in....)

3. Additional comment: this is an article, a compressed and condensed form of an original lengthy study either master thesis, PhD dissertation or any other research project. Hence, it is better to you avoiding the two splits, introduction and statement of the problem. In most cases, articles contain an introduction (both the combination of the background and statement of the problem). When you do this, it is easy to keep coherence of paragraphs and maintain general idea flow of the introduction, easy to avoid redundancy of ideas, clear to readers and essential to enlist their interest. If you accept our suggestion about combining the introduction and statement of the problem section into one introduction, we would prefer, if your paper is organized in such flow:
   ○ The issue of quality education at broader level
   ○ General Quality education issue at Ethiopia
   ○ Quality education in secondary schools and the role/practice of principals’ in managing it
   ○ The main issue of concern (the context and rationales of this specific study accompanied with its objectives/questions)
     ○ In the introduction section paragraph 3 of the last sentence you stated that “All of them were planned to respond to human capital development needs that could contribute to poverty reduction and the country’s economic development (MOE, 2015)”. Do you mean by all the macroeconomic development programs you mentioned were planned to respond to human capital development needs? We recommend you revise this sentence.
     ○ Some minor issues in the statement of the problem section: in paragraph 2 line 4, a
statement says “For instance, the World Bank found that student achievement in secondary school was low, but the graduates lacked the necessary skills to enter the world of work”. Our concern is ...is but an appropriate conjunction here? Consider editing. In paragraph 3 line 3, a phrase... among larger regions such as.... Make it specific. Larger regions in terms of what? Total population, student population, geographical area, or what?

- In the statement of the problem section Lines 9-11; you need to show the target and the achievement to inform us of the real gap between the target and the achievement. “…For example, in ESDP IV, the ministry set targets in 2014 for grades 4, 8, 10, and 12 in Mathematics, English, Biology, Physics, and Chemistry. Nonetheless, the ministry did not achieve the targets (MOE, 2015). These illustrate the legacy of poor-quality education.”

Questions/Objectives: Generally, the research questions are worth to investigate the issue at hand. However, the way the questions stated lack clarity and over generalized in terms of study setting. For example, let us look at them:

1. How do teachers perceive the inputs to secondary schools in Ethiopia? (This question lacks clarity. Inputs in terms what? Availability, adequacy, relevance and appropriateness, or quality?) Alternative suggestion: What is the perception of teachers about the availability of adequate inputs in secondary schools of the study area?

2. How do teachers perceive school-level quality management practices in Ethiopian secondary schools? (Considering your topic and intent, it is better if the question is restated as: what is the perception of teachers towards school-level quality management practices of principals’ in secondary schools of the study area?)

3. How do teachers perceive classroom-level quality management practices in Ethiopian secondary schools? (What is the perception of teachers towards classroom-level quality management practices of principals’ in secondary schools of the study area?)

4. What are the relationships between input, process, and output management in Ethiopian secondary education? (Is there any significant relationship between input, process, and output management in the studied secondary schools?)

- The questions presented as “how do teachers perceive...” is more likely suitable for qualitative study than yours which collect quantitative data concerning teachers’ perception.

- The 251 sample teachers were asked about their perception regarding... in their respective schools. They are not asked about all the Ethiopian schools. So, no need to use the word Ethiopia at the end of each research question. The purpose of using the word Ethiopia in the title is obvious, and that is enough.

- Concerning research question (4), we have two additional concerns. First, what is the purpose of such question in your study? What does it have with teachers' perception of the principals' quality management practices? Second, you employed Pearson correlation to analyze the data for such specific research question. Our concern is how the inputs and processes were measured? Were they measured in interval scale to make them continuous data mandatory to Pearson correlation? Or the Likert scale
data is used? If so, how Pearson correlation is appropriate? Please make clear these issues in the data analysis section of the paper.

**Significance of the study, conceptual framework and operational definition of terms:**

1. **General comment:** We think, the organization of the manuscript is highly influenced by the organization/components of either PhD dissertation or Master Thesis. That is why the following elements are wrongly incorporated in the manuscript.

2. **Significance of the study:** We think, this section is not relevant for your article here. Instead please show us the implication of your study/finding under the conclusion section.

3. **Conceptual framework:** the conceptual framework is wrongly placed. It should be **based on and part of** the review literature section.

4. **Operational definition of terms:** In this manuscript, Special woreda, woreda, and zone are defined as operational definition of terms. For me, the above terms are not key terms in your study. Thus, operational definition is not needed for them. If need be, they can be provided as footnote. It would be good rather if the paper provides operational definition for:
   - Perception of teachers
   - Practice of principals quality management
   - Input
   - Process (school-level) quality management practice
   - Process (classroom-level) quality management practice
   - Secondary school

**Review literature:** This section is composed of fragmented paragraphs and many headings. It has also some minor modification issues such as coherence, compilation of the required information and reduction of unrelated cases. Sub topics such as education quality, quality assurance, total quality management and choices of study variables in review literature section are not relevant. The subtopics’ idea can be simply presented in paragraph form. Further, we do not believe that the appropriate information is included in the review literature section. For example, the issue of total quality management does not linked with the study topic. The same is true for quality assurance. We expect from the paper as a review literature about issues under the research questions or variables, or topics such as brief conceptualization of quality education, how quality education is measured/approached (*quality assurance and total quality management might be discussed here*), or components of quality education (input, process and output and the subcomponents under each of the three main components), role of principals in managing quality, empirical evidences about perception of teachers towards principals quality management practice etc. We do not also think that issues like “**the choice of study variables**” are part of the review literature section, they rather are part of research methods.

**Methodology/ Methods and Materials:** The method section is generally sound except the following some minor issues:

1. Ethical statement: better if this is presented at the end of the method section and only contains the idea in the second paragraph (what the researcher ethically considers), no need to reporting lack of ethical checkup procedures in AAU.
2. Research design: lacks well description. What does it mean multilevel mixed method design? How it best fits with this particular study? Another case, “The study followed a quantitative approach using qualitative data from document analysis” Make it clear. First, does the study employ qualitative and quantitative approaches at the same time or sequentially? Which data is/are given more weight, quantitative or qualitative? Why? As to our observation, we do not look at qualitative data in this study. Although, you collected data from documents (national and regional assessment records), you come up with quantitative data in terms of students number, their scores, percentage and the like. So, what makes your study to follow qualitative approach?

3. Sample: firstly, it would be better if the subtopic is restated as population, sample and sampling procedure/s since your paper tells us about all of the above issues. Second, I read that there are 14 Zones and 5 Special woredas in SPNNR. The researcher grouped them into five clusters based on geographical proximities of those areas. The researcher also selected the one cluster (the western cluster which comprises three zones) using simple random sampling technique. I do not have seen problems with the above procedures. Our concern is with the claim, “the finding of this study represents other clusters too”. How the representative issue is confirmed? By statistically/methodologically supported evidence or by the researchers judgment?

4. The Yemane’s formula used to determine sample size is obtained from others sources (cited in... Why?) Is it not the Yemane (1967) work accessible for the researcher?

5. In some areas of the method section, for example, in instrumentation and data analysis sections, we observed “We expressions”. We think, the paper is written by solo author. If so, why such expressions as “we do not involve them, instrumentation section line 15” and we tested the validity and reliability...data analysis section line 3. Please consider editing such expressions, otherwise specify whom do you work with.

6. In the case of document analysis, the researcher reviewed “the high school standards (MOE, 2009) to ascertain whether the secondary schools met the standard in terms of input availability, such as qualified teachers, competent students, well-equipped laboratories, and libraries, and whether the principals performed satisfactorily according to the standards”. We have three concerns here: [first], the standard cannot be reviewed for this study case rather it may be used as a reference material to cheek to what extent the information collected from teachers (about availability of input, process and output) comply with what is sated in the standard, or [second], the researcher my conduct observation using the some of the standard points as checklist to ensure to what extent schools fulfill the stated standards, and [third] does the principals’ satisfactorily performance specified in the standard? If so, how the actual principals’ quality management practice is rated according to the review of the standard? We do not observe such information other than teachers’ perception.

7. The issue of validity and reliability is repetitively presented both in the instrument and data analysis section. Reduce the latter one.

8. For what purpose the issue of multi-collinearity is checked for? For factor analysis or Pearson correlation? Make it clear.
9. In the description of the study area section of this manuscript line 5 ...eastern Ethiopia to the east.... Which part/region of eastern Ethiopia shares a boundary with SNNP? Somalia, Harar, Afar...? Make it clear, please.

**Results/Data Analysis**: Generally, the data analysis/finding section is well written except for some minor typographical and some other issues.

1. It is better if the response rate for the questionnaire is presented in the method section than in the results section.

2. It is better too if the information such as level of teachers qualification and workload presented under a specified subtopic may be “characteristics of participants or something like that”. It is also better if further information about teachers’ (gender, age, experience) is provided.

3. There are typographical errors in specifying factors. Example, factor 7 is wrongly typed as factor 6 in table 1, factor 8 is typed as factor 7, and factor 10 which is in table 1 is wrongly reported as it is in table 2.

4. Some additional concerns: we do have some smooth concerns regarding the inferences made by the author. For example, first, “The analysis shows that out of students promoted to grade nine, 48% scored below standard. Consequently, about half of the students entered grade nine without having the necessary knowledge, skills, or attitudes. Our worry is to what extent that one can be confident with that regional exams sufficiently measure the students’ skills and attitudinal aspects. If that is that case, is it not difficult to boldly conclude that students who scored below the standard lacks at least the necessary skills and attitude unless other better measurement mechanism is used? Second, in the studied three zones, it is ensured that 30% of teachers are diploma holders, which is below the minimum level of qualification to secondary school as per the standard. My comment is on the inference that “Hence, one can infer that those incompetent teachers were teaching secondary education, which affected the quality of education”. Though it is know that level of qualification has direct relationship with level of competency, it should be also noted that level of qualification does not always imply competency, as competency is the function of other many factors. In our experience both as a students and a teachers, experienced and interested diploma teachers are better performers in high schools than inexperienced and less interested degree graduates. Even we accept that the inference is true, it is logical to reach such a bit bold generalization about the status quality education by using single case i.e. 30% diploma holders. Why not the quality education was better in those zones because of majority (70%) properly qualified teachers if the issue is associated with teacher qualification. So, reconsider the inference.

5. Items in factor 8 table 1, lacks clarity to me. I think the researcher is interested to measure whether grade 8th students join grade 9th with appropriate level of knowledge, English communication skill and students motivation. But, (1) the items were not phrased in such specific way. Teachers were asked as (Grade 9 students have the knowledge that deserves the grade, Grade 9 students have good command of the English language, and Grade 9 students have the motivation to learn). Thus, do teachers reflect their perception for such items considering the current grade nine students or the former grade eight students? It can be better clarified as: Grade 8th students join grade 9th with the knowledge level that deserves it; Grade 8th students have good command of English language while they join grade nine...
6. In table 4, factor three, do principals considered protecting instructional time when they follow-up teachers in giving and checking home and class works only? Do teachers mainly get in to class to give and check home and class works only? We think, it is better include other aspects of instructional time protection by school principals.

7. Regarding the data in table 5, considering the national exam result in 2017 and 2018, the paper made some claim as “Hence, it is difficult to infer that school ‘A’ performed better than school ‘B,’ ‘C,’ or vice versa”. Does this mean the national assessment score may not indicate the status of quality education properly?

**Discussion/interpretation:** This section is done great. However, as usual, let me indicate some minor issues:

1. In the discussion section, the study elaborated that input, process and output are causally linked. How did you know they have a causal relationship? You run the Pearson correlation and it simply tells us the relationship of two continuous variables.

2. In the discussion section, page 12, the assertion stated as “It is challenging to expect motivated and committed students from unmotivated, incompetent, and uncommitted teachers.” This seems bold generalization and unsupported evidence, i.e. teachers’ commitment, motivation and level of competency were not investigated in this study. Hence, the above generalization is not as such relevant. To be honest, only one item is presented to teachers to measure whether they join teaching profession based on their interest or not.

3. In page 13, it is stated that “Though principals encourage teachers to offer tutorial and makeup classes and continuous assessments, it is impractical due to large class sizes, a shortage of classrooms, and demotivated teachers and students”. Does the study investigated about challenges?

4. **Conclusions and recommendations:** The conclusion section lack the proper indication of the implication of the study. Some of the recommendations are not also targeted to the respective body. For example, the recommendation for MoE as: “The Ministry of Education should revisit its practices of compromising promotion policies, underfunding secondary education, and recruiting unmotivated and under-qualified teachers”. Funding and teacher recruitment for secondary schools are not the responsibilities of ministry of education, they are the duties of regional education Bureaus. The recommendation for further study is not also based on your study. It is better if you recommend further study on your study gaps. Thus, please revisit your recommendation.

5. **Limitation of the study:** although the author indicated the limitations of this study, we feel it lacks clarity and it is not exhaustive too. For example, from inputs perspective the researcher only examines teachers’ perception about laboratory, library, qualified teachers and students. Other inputs such as availability of different facilities and equipment (ICT, plasma, student text book ratio, student teacher ratio, class size, pedagogic center, toilet, water supply all were not addressed). Coming to process, some important process dimensions such as teachers' performance appraisal and feedback system, teaching and learning process, instructional leadership and supervision practices, involvement of
students in co-curricular activities and provision of guidance and counseling services were not examined too. Thus, we suggest if the limitation section is well indicated.

6. **References:** We do not exactly know which referencing style the researchers follow. It should be thus revisited to be adhered with a specific referencing style.

7. **Compliance with Ethical Standards:** We appreciate the ethical consideration of the author in this study.

8. **Writing/language issues:** the paper is well written except minor **typological** errors indicated in the commented sections and in the pdf.

9. **Overall comment to the author:** Dear author, we would like to thank you again for your valuable research work. As you see from our comments, we raised so many details. This does not mean that your work has many limitations. We do rather due to three basic reasons: first, since we like the paper very much, we want it to be improved more and get its own status; second, the review guideline by Emerald publishing encourages reviewers to come up with a thorough and specific comments helpful for the improvement of the article; third, Since, Emerald publishing does not have a copy editing service, much of the responsibility to edit the paper will rest on the author. We indicate every details is thus to help the author's effort at least in part. Finally, we would like to say one remark: try to look at our comments and suggestion carefully and only consider that which wins your heart and convinces your mind! For more detail, you can also find our comments in your paper that will be attached with this report.

**Thank you once again and wish you all the best!!**
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Introduction:
The introduction needs to add a paragraph to attract the reader's attention by describing the situation of quality management practice globally in school, it should explain how the quality management in other countries as well before going to specify in the author's countries. As a reader, I need to know the story of how the quality management addressed all over the world
(development and other developing countries). Over all, the introduction provides a clear context and rationale for the study and highlighting the significance of investigating quality management practice in secondary school in Ethiopia.

**Literature review:**
The author has described a few theories in education quality, and management quality assurance. Over all, the literature review is well-organized and supported the research. However, it would be helpful if the author add the school management theory.

**Methodology:**
1. Regarding the ethical statement, it would be beneficial if the author provides more information on how the potential risks to participants were mitigated and how confidentiality was ensured.
2. In describing the study area, the author should give more details about the geographical location, socio-economy characteristics, and culture, and political to provide the clear picture of research setting.
3. For sampling, you should provide your strategy to choose teacher and school within the cluster to enhance you transparency study.
4. For document analysis, you should provide why you chose two documents to see the quality management (NEAEA (assessment result), and MOE (high school standard)).
5. For data analysis process, author provides clear techniques. As a reader, it would be helpful if author can provide more information on the criteria used in the data analysis.

**Results and Discussion:**
It has been written clearly, however, preferably after the table there is an explanation given so that the reader can understand it.
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